



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.								
10/817,482	04/02/2004	Hiroshi Kobayashi	B-5409 621803-3	6130								
<div>7590 12/27/2007 LADAS &amp; PARRY Suite #2100 5670 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679</div>			<div>EXAMINER CHOWDHURY, AFROZA Y</div> <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>ART UNIT</th><th>PAPER NUMBER</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>2629</td><td></td></tr></tbody></table> <div><table border="1"><thead><tr><th>MAIL DATE</th><th>DELIVERY MODE</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>12/27/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></tbody></table></div>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2629		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	12/27/2007	PAPER
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER											
2629												
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE											
12/27/2007	PAPER											

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/817,482

Applicant(s)

KOBAYASHI, HIROSHI

Examiner

Afroza Y. Chowdhury

Art Unit

2629

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Afroza Y. Chowdhury.

(3) Alex Krayner.

(2) Amare Mengistu.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Watanabe et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the application and clarified claim language. The examiner will send another office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Afroza Chowdhury  
Examiner's signature, if required